UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-------------------------------|--------------------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
| 10/579,801 | 001 05/15/2006 Yong Ding | | AFDC-00300 | 3452 |
| 34051 Stevens Law Gr | 7590 02/02/200 roup | EXAMINER | | |
| 1754 Technolog | | LE, CANH | | |
| Suite #226 San Jose, CA 9: | 5110 | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 2439 | |
| | | | | |
| | | | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
| | | | 02/02/2009 | PAPER |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

| Application No. | Applicant(s) | |
|-----------------|--------------|--|
| 10/579,801 | DING ET AL. | |
| Examiner | Art Unit | |
| CANH LE | 2439 | |

| | CANH LE | 2439 | |
|--|--|--|---|
| The MAILING DATE of this communication appe | ars on the cover sheet with the o | correspondence addi | ess |
| THE REPLY FILED <u>16 January 2009</u> FAILS TO PLACE THIS A | PPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR | R ALLOWANCE. | |
| 1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following rapplication in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apple for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 C periods: | eplies: (1) an amendment, affidavi al (with appeal fee) in compliance | t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or | hich places the (3) a Request |
| a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date | of the final rejection. | | |
| b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Adno event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire la Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (I MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f | ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE). | g date of the final rejectio FIRST REPLY WAS FIL | n. .ED WITHIN TWO |
| Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date of have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extra under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the siset forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). NOTICE OF APPEAL | ension and the corresponding amount chortened statutory period for reply origi | of the fee. The approprianally set in the final Office | te extension fee e action; or (2) as |
| The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compl filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exten Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed with the complexity. | sion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to | avoid dismissal of the | |
| AMENDMENTS | | | |
| The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, be (a) They raise new issues that would require further con (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below | sideration and/or search (see NOī v); | ΓE below); | |
| (c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in bett appeal; and/or | er form for appeal by materially red | ducing or simplifying th | e issues for |
| (d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a converse NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). | orresponding number of finally reje | ected claims. | |
| 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.12 | 1. See attached Notice of Non-Co | mpliant Amendment (F | PTOL-324). |
| 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): | | | |
| 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable claim(s). | | - | - |
| 7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) [how the new or amended claims would be rejected is prov The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: | | I be entered and an ex | planation of |
| Claim(s) bijected to: | | | |
| Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-4 and 8-16</u> . Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: | | | |
| <u>AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE</u> 8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but | hafara or on the data of filing a No | stice of Appeal will not | ho ontored |
| because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). | | | |
| The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to over showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary | vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea and was not earlier presented. Se | al and/or appellant fails ee 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1) | to provide a |
| 10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER | | • | |
| The request for reconsideration has been considered but <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u> | | condition for allowand | ce because: |
| 12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s). (13. ☐ Other: | PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s) | | |
| | /ELLENLTDAN/ | | |
| | /ELLEN TRAN/ Primary Examiner, Art U | nit 2434 | |
| | i ililary Examilier, Alt O | III. 4707 | |

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

The Applicant amended the phrase "to be performed by a computer" DOES NOT tie the steps to any machine, must less a particular machine. Instead, it's just an intended use the recited method. In order for these claims (i.e. 1-4 and 8-16) to be statutory, it would have to be worded in such a fashion (supported by the original disclosure under both 35 USC 112 1st and 37 CFR 1.75(d)(1)) that particular programming was utilized which transformed a general purpose computer into a special purpose machine, and that such a special purpose machine is actually performing these steps.

For future amended claims, The Applicant should point with specificity (i.e. column and line) in the specification where it describes/supports a new limitation.